To:	Ad Hoc Working Group on HF-EOP
From:	Richard Ray (GSFC)
Re:	Indexing and argument conventions for tides
Date:	December 15, 2017

## **REVISED** Addendum to previous memo

My colleague, Leonid Petrov, wishes a plague on both houses, Woolard's and Doodson's, and he suggests adding a column to our tables that evaluates each tidal argument at epoch J2000. Along with the known frequency of each wave, this then allows any user to evaluate the tidal predictions without fussing about with astronomical longitudes and odd phase conventions. This seems a very reasonable request. My original suggestion still stands, because I think it is still important to those of us that develop these models to maintain consistency with other tidal coefficients back to the real ocean and to the tide-generating potential. Leonid's suggestion simply adds another column to the table.

A predicted time series for any tidal frequency can then be computed simply from

$$y(t) = A\cos(\Theta - G) = C\cos\Theta + S\sin\Theta$$
(1)

for amplitude A, phase lag G, or components C, S, and where the argument is

$$\Theta = \omega (T - T_0) + V_0. \tag{2}$$

If the time T is in UT, then for a J2000 time origin we should set

$$T_0 = 2000$$
 January 1, 11:58:55,

assuming that  $\Delta T$  was 65 s or so at J2000.

This approach, based on pure harmonics of known tidal frequencies, is admittedly only an approximation to the standard approach. The standard approach, be it Woolard's or Doodson's, depends on both UT and TT (as John Gipson noted in his earlier memo to this group). The first variable in the standard tidal argument either GMST or lunar time  $\tau$ —depends on UT; the other (astronomical) variables are functions of TT. So if there are large changes in  $\Delta T$ , the pure-harmonic approach fails to model this properly. To see the magnitude of the possible errors, I computed



Figure 1: The error in the predicted position of the x-component of polar motion committed by using the pure-harmonic approach of Eqns. (1-2).

predicted polar motion (x component) over the years 1970–2060 two ways: the standard way using Doodson arguments and the pure harmonic way described above. The extrapolation of  $\Delta T$  into the future reaches over 100 seconds in 2060 (which may or may not be realistic). The differences in x ( $\mu$ as) are shown in Figure 1. So long as  $\Delta T$ stays near its J2000 value, the errors are very small. Eventually they exceed 1  $\mu$ as, which presumably can still be considered small, depending on the application. It's possible that some of the error circa 2060 and onwards could also arise from the fact that the astronomical mean longitudes are not strictly linear functions of TT (here I employed the standard polynomial expansions in the IERS's fundarg routine). I haven't investigated which is the largest error source.

My suggested version of the current IERS-2010 model for tidal UT1, including a column for the J2000 phase  $V_0$ , is attached. (Note that the earlier version of this Addendum had a mistake in  $V_0$  for a few constituents.) This table is based on the original tables in the Chao et al. (1996) paper, with additional minor constituents and nodal sidelines added via standard admittance calculations. We may still quibble with where to draw the cutoff used for minor lines; it's easy to add more.

	Deedeen Ne	F	CTE	12000(%)	A	Disease	605	CTN
204	Doodson No.	Freq( <sup>2</sup> /n)	CIE	J2000(*)	Amp	Phase	COS	SIN
2Q1	1-30200-1	12.854286	0.00664	23.640	1.109	15.99	1.066	0.306
sigl	1-32000-1	12.927140	0.00802	57.866	1.271	17.16	1.214	0.375
	1 -2 0 1 -1 0 -1	13.396454	0.00947	283.648	1.059	25.95	0.952	0.463
Q1	1 -2 0 1 0 0 -1	13.398661	0.05018	158.603	5.600	26.00	5.033	2.455
rho1	1 -2 2 -1 0 0 -1	13.471515	0.00954	192.830	1.009	27.51	0.895	0.466
	1 -1 0 0 -1 0 -1	13.940829	0.04945	58.611	3.799	36.96	3.035	2.284
01	1 -1 0 0 0 0 -1	13.943036	0.26216	293.567	20.100	37.00	16.053	12.096
tau1	1 -1 2 0 0 0 1	14.025173	0.00343	314.499	0.250	38.33	0.196	0.155
	1 0 0 -1 0 0 1	14.487410	0.00740	248.530	0.428	40.81	0.324	0.280
M1	1 0 0 1 0 0 1	14,496694	0.02060	55.236	1.188	40.74	0.900	0.775
	1 0 0 1 1 0 1	14 498900	0 00414	290 192	0 238	40 72	0 181	0 156
chi1	1 0 2 -1 0 0 1	14 569548	0 00392	89 462	0 220	39 96	0 169	0 142
ni1	1 1 -3 0 0 1 -1	1/ 017865	0.00332	171 738	0.220	30.00	0.105	0.105
D1	1 1 -2 0 0 0 -1	14.917803	0.00713	160 267	5 900	20.99	5 160	2 860
Γ⊥		15 000002	0.12201	102 671	0 156	23.00	0,120	2.800
		15.000002	0.00289	192.071	0.156	27.74	0.138	0.075
1/4	1 1 0 0 -1 0 -1	15.038862	0.00729	135.244	0.411	26.09	0.369	0.181
ΚI	1 1 0 0 0 0 1	15.041069	0.36873	190.200	19.700	26.00	17.706	8.636
	1 1 0 0 1 0 1	15.043275	0.05001	65.155	2.846	25.90	2.560	1.244
psi1	1 1 1 0 0 -1 1	15.082135	0.00293	187.729	0.104	24.21	0.095	0.043
phi1	1 1 2 0 0 0 1	15.123206	0.00525	31.132	0.274	22.37	0.253	0.104
the1	1 2 -2 1 0 0 1	15.512590	0.00397	290.937	0.275	5.54	0.273	0.027
J1	1 2 0 -1 0 0 1	15.585443	0.02062	325.163	1.521	2.93	1.519	0.078
	1 2 0 -1 1 0 1	15.587650	0.00409	200.118	0.302	2.85	0.302	0.015
S01	1 3 -2 0 0 0 1	16.056964	0.00342	65.900	0.393	351.21	0.388	-0.060
001	1 3 0 0 0 0 1	16.139102	0.01129	266.832	1.401	349.93	1.379	-0.245
	1 3 0 0 1 0 1	16.141308	0.00723	141.788	0.899	349.90	0.885	-0.158
ups1	1 4 0 -1 0 0 1	16.683476	0.00216	41,796	0.427	344.76	0.412	-0.112
ens2	2 - 3 2 1 0 0 0	27 423834	0 00467	113 103	0 251	262 01	-0.035	-0 249
2N2	2 - 2 0 2 0 0 0	27 895355	0 01601	213 840	0.686	254 93	-0 178	-0 662
mu2	2_2 2 0 0 0 0	27 968208	0.01001	248 066	0.000	253 87	_0 222	-0.769
muz	2 1 0 1 1 0 2	28 127522	0.01552	202 848	0.000	248 07	0.222	0.105
N2		20.437323	0.00431	293.040	4 100	240.02	1 526	-0.142
NZ	2 -1 0 1 0 0 0	20.439730	0.12101	240.005	4.100	240.00	-1.350	-3.601
nuz	2 -1 2 -1 0 0 0	28.512583	0.02298	23.029	0.757	247.35	-0.292	-0.699
alp2	20-10012	28.943038	0.00218	306.237	0.062	245.88	-0.025	-0.056
	2 0 0 0 -1 0 2	28.981898	0.02357	68.811	0.661	245.99	-0.269	-0.604
M2	2000000	28.984104	0.63193	123.766	17.700	246.00	-7.199	-16.170
lam2	2 1 -2 1 0 0 2	29.455625	0.00466	44.503	0.117	251.57	-0.037	-0.111
L2	2 1 0 -1 0 0 2	29.528479	0.01786	78.729	0.446	253.15	-0.129	-0.427
	2 1 0 1 0 0 0	29.537763	0.00447	65.436	0.112	253.37	-0.032	-0.107
T2	2 2 - 3 0 0 1 0	29.958933	0.01718	1.938	0.440	265.63	-0.033	-0.438
S2	2 2 - 2 0 0 0 0	30.000000	0.29402	359.467	7.600	267.00	-0.398	-7.590
R2	2 2 -1 0 0 -1 2	30.041067	0.00246	176.996	0.064	268.38	-0.002	-0.064
К2	2200000	30.082137	0.07992	200.399	2.000	259.00	-0.382	-1.963
	2 2 0 0 1 0 0	30,084344	0.02383	75.355	0.631	269.83	-0.002	-0.631
	2 2 0 0 2 0 0	30 086550	0 00259	310 310	0 069	269 91	-0 000	-0 060
0+07		30 676517	0 00/17	332 363	0.009	286 22	0.000	_0.1/0
eluz	2 2 0 1 0 0 0	20.020212	0.00447	202.202	0.134	200.00	0.045	-0.148

TIDAL UT1 -- Chao et al (1996) Model C